State policies that promote the economic security of our nation’s families can help offset larger economic and social conditions that make it difficult for families to get by and get ahead. This four-part profile provides data on Alaska’s low-income children and families and highlights state policy choices regarding families’ work attachment and advancement, income adequacy, and asset development.

In Alaska, there are 94,965 families, with 183,419 children. Among these children, 34 percent live in families that are low-income, defined as income below twice the federal poverty level (nationally, 45 percent of children live in low-income families). Young children are particularly likely to live in low-income families.

Low wages and a lack of higher education contribute to families having insufficient incomes. Nationally, 48 percent of low-income children have at least one parent who works full-time, year-round; in Alaska, the figure is 37 percent.

Parents without a college education often struggle to earn enough to support a family, but only 27 percent of adults in Alaska have a bachelor’s degree. A substantial portion of children in Alaska whose parents only have a high school diploma—56 percent—are low income.

Children of foreign-born parents are also more likely to be low income than children of native-born parents.

Percent of children who are low-income by parental education, 2011

| Education Level                  | Alaska | U.S.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No high school degree</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only a high school degree</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beyond a high school degree</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of children who are low-income by parents’ nativity, 2011

| Nativity                     | Alaska | U.S.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children w/native-born parents</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children w/immigrant parents</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## State Choices to Promote Child Care Affordability and Access

### Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) Subsidies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earnings limit for a single-parent family of 3²</td>
<td>$46,243/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-payment as percent of income for family of 3 at 150% FPL, 1 child in care³</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providers prohibited from charging additional fees⁴</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider payment rates at least 75th percentile of market rate⁵</td>
<td>No⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refundable credit available⁷</td>
<td>No state credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefit structure⁷</td>
<td>No state credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max benefit for family with 2 qualifying children⁷</td>
<td>No state credit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### State Choices to Promote Access to Health Insurance

#### Public Health Insurance for Parents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applicant earnings limit for single parent with 2 children⁸</td>
<td>$18,648/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents eligible up to same limit as children, single parent with 2 children⁹</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal immigrants eligible for state-funded benefits when barred from federal¹⁰</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal immigrants otherwise barred from benefits eligible for prenatal care¹¹</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Public Health Insurance for Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid income eligibility limit as % of FPL for children ages 1-5 in family of 3¹²</td>
<td>175%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid income eligibility limit as % of FPL for children ages 6-19 in family of 3¹²</td>
<td>175%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHIP (separate program) income eligibility as % of FPL for children in family of 3¹³</td>
<td>No separate SCHIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### State Choices to Promote Access to Benefits for the Under- and Unemployed

**Unemployment Insurance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State counts most recent earnings when determining eligibility</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible if seeking part-time work</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State has general provision recognizing &quot;good cause&quot; for quitting work</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Cash Assistance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earnings limit for a single-parent family of 3</td>
<td>$16,200/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Official unemployment rate, 2007

- **U.S.**: 4.6%
- **Alaska**: 6.2%

### Part-time workers who want full-time work, 2003

- **U.S.**: 13%
- **Alaska**: 16%

### Income Adequacy

**State Choices to Increase and Supplement Wages**

**Minimum Wage Standards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indexed to inflation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State Earned Income Tax Credit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refundable credit available</td>
<td>No state credit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of federal EITC</td>
<td>No state credit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State Choices to Reduce Tax Burdens**

**Income Tax Liability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income tax threshold for single-parent family of 3</td>
<td>No state income tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax threshold for two-parent family of 4</td>
<td>No state income tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income tax burden for single-parent family of 3 at 100% FPL</td>
<td>No state income tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Median annual household income for family of four, 2006

- U.S.: $70,354
- Alaska: $71,781

Workers covered by a union, 1987 and 2007

- 1987:
  - Alaska: 26%
  - U.S.: 19%
- 2007:
  - Alaska: 25%
  - U.S.: 13%
State Choices to Promote Access to Paid Leave

Family and Medical Leave

State provisions for paid leave

None

State Choices to Promote Adequate Benefits for the Under- and Unemployed

Unemployment Insurance

Minimum weekly benefit (no dependents)

$44/week

Additional dependent allowance provided

Yes

Weekly benefit amount is indexed to average weekly wage

No

Potential duration of benefits

16 - 26 weeks

Food Stamps

Legal immigrants eligible for state-funded benefits when barred from federal

No

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Cash Assistance

Annual maximum benefit for family of 3

$11,076/year

Treatment of child support income

Up to $50 passed through. Amount disregarded for purposes of eligibility and benefits.
# Asset Development and Protection

## State Policy Choices to Promote Asset Development

### Individual Development Accounts

State-supported IDA program in operation[^35]  

No

## State Choices to Promote Asset Protection

### Public Health Insurance for Parents

Assets disregarded for eligibility determination[^13]  

No ($2,000)

### Public Health Insurance for Children

Assets disregarded for Medicaid eligibility[^36]  

Yes

Assets disregarded for SCHIP (separate program) eligibility[^13]  

No separate SCHIP

### Food Stamps

Treatment of vehicles in asset test[^37]  

Aligned to TANF cash assistance rules

### Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Cash Assistance

Assets disregarded for eligibility determination[^20]  

No

Treatment of vehicles in asset test[^20]  

Excludes all vehicles owned by household

## Children who are "asset poor," 2004[^38]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>Data not available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Homeownership rate, 2007[^39]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homeowner</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^35]: Alaska State Family Economic Security Profile page 6
[^13]: Alaska State Family Economic Security Profile page 6
[^36]: Alaska State Family Economic Security Profile page 6
[^37]: Alaska State Family Economic Security Profile page 6
[^20]: Alaska State Family Economic Security Profile page 6
DATA NOTES AND SOURCES

Data were compiled from 50-state sources. Some state policy decisions may have changed since these data were collected.

1. National data were calculated from the 2011 American Community Survey, representing information from 2011. State data were calculated from the 2009-2011 American Community Survey, representing information from the years 2009 to 2011.
3. If the state calculates co-payments based on the cost of care, figure reflects the co-payment for a 4-year-old in licensed, nonaccredited center care at the maximum state payment rate.
5. Rates vary based on locality and age of child. The percentile at which state rates are set ranges from the zero percentile to the 100th percentile.
6. States were asked to report state reimbursement rates and the 75th percentile of market rates for their state’s most populous city, country, or region. Data reflect basic provider payment rates (higher rates may be available for particular types of care). Rates are considered below the 75th percentile if they are based on an out-dated market rate survey (more than 2 years old).
8. Figure reflects limit under Medicaid plan with highest income eligibility limit for parents, taking into account the value of earnings disregards (which may be time-limited in some cases).
9. National data were calculated from the 2009-2011 American Community Survey, representing information from the years 2009 to 2011.
10. Lawful permanent residents (LPRs) are generally barred from federal benefits during their first 5 years as LPRs, unless they entered the U.S. before 8/22/96. Exceptions include refugees and U.S. veterans (and their families). See data source for more details.
11. States have the option of using federal State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) funds to provide prenatal care to women regardless of immigration status. They can also extend prenatal care to immigrant women using state funds.
12. Limit includes SCHIP-funded Medicaid expansions, where applicable.
14. States were asked to report state reimbursement rates and the 75th percentile of market rates for their state’s most populous city, country, or region. Data reflect basic provider payment rates (higher rates may be available for particular types of care). Rates are considered below the 75th percentile if they are based on an out-dated market rate survey (more than 2 years old).
15. Figures reflect the percent of children (under age 18) and adults (ages 18-64) who did not have health insurance coverage at any point during the year.
16. Figures reflect the percent of children under age 18 and the percent of adults under 65 who were covered by employer-based health insurance during at least part of the year.
17. In most states, the base period consists of the first 4 of the 5 most recently completed quarters. Some states allow claimants to use an alternative base period that includes more recent earnings.
19. A general ‘good cause’ provision extends eligibility to persons who leave their jobs for ‘personal emergencies’ or ‘compelling circumstances’, which should include—among others—child care conflicts, illness, domestic violence, and spousal relocation. Eligibility determinations, however, may vary in practice. States may also specifically recognize certain reasons as “good cause”;

20. If the state calculates co-payments based on the cost of care, figure reflects the co-payment for a 4-year-old in licensed, nonaccredited center care at the maximum state payment rate.
for more information see Appendix B in Rebecca Smith, Rick McHugh, Andrew Stettner, and Nancy Segal, Between a Rock and a Hard Place: Confronting the Failure of State Unemployment Insurance Systems to Serve Women and Working Families, National Employment Law Project, 2003.

Rebecca Smith, Rick McHugh, Andrew Stettner, and Nancy Segal, Between a Rock and a Hard Place: Confronting the Failure of State Unemployment Insurance Systems to Serve Women and Working Families, National Employment Law Project, July 2003.


Figure reflects the percent of people age 16 and above who do not have a job but are available for and actively seeking work.


Figure reflects the percent of part-time workers who are available to work full-time, but usually work less than 35 hours per week due to slack work or unfavorable business conditions, inability to find full-time work, or seasonal declines in demand.


State EITC Online Resource Center, http://www.stateeftc.com (accessed June 3, 2009); with additional information from NCCP.

Calculations include income tax credits that are available to all low-income families in the state, such as state earned income tax credits.


Percent of employed workers who are covered by a collective bargaining agreement.


These reflect provisions for private sector employees; more generous rules may apply for the public sector.


Potential duration is the maximum number of weeks of benefits that a claimant is eligible for under the regular state program. In most states, it is determined based on the amount and distribution of the recipient's earnings in the base period; eight states have a uniform potential duration for all claimants.


Food Insecurity:

Figure reflects the percent of households forced to reduce food intake, disrupt normal eating patterns, or go hungry because they lack the money or resources to obtain adequate food.


Housing Insecurity:

Figure reflects the percent of families living in rental units who pay 30 percent or more of their income on housing.


Adult lawful permanent residents (LPRs) are generally barred from federal benefits during their first 5 years as LPRs. Exceptions include refugees and U.S. veterans (and their families). See data source for more details.


A child support pass-through is the amount of collected child support that the state gives to families on whose behalf the child support was collected. A child support disregard is the amount of child support that the family can keep without lowering their TANF benefits.


Community-based IDA programs are operating in all states but often without state support. Also, in some states without state-supported IDA programs, IDA legislation was passed but never implemented due to lack of state funding, or IDA legislation expired, and no new state support was allocated.


Rule applies to SCHIP-funded Medicaid expansions, where applicable.


Households in which all members receive TANF cash assistance or SSI benefits do not have to meet gross income or asset eligibility criteria. Most states also waive these criteria for recipients of certain other benefits; some states waive these criteria for nearly all applicants.


Figure reflects the percent of children in households that have insufficient net worth to subsist at the federal poverty level for three months in the absence of income.

39. Figure reflects the percent of households who are homeowners. U.S. Census Bureau, "Housing Vacancies and Homeownership, Annual Statistics 2007, Table 13," [http://www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov) (March 14, 2008).